## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATI	ONAL SEARCH	ING AUTHO	RITY			·	
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  To: ZER YORAM APPELFELD ZER LAW OFFICE					РСТ		
29 LILINBLUM 65133 TEL-AVIV, ISRAEL					WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR ITY		
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 JAN 2007	
Applicant'	s or agent's file re	ference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
E-0008-00	01						
Internation	al application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/IL04/	00976		26 October 2004 (26.10.2004)		.2004)	26 October 2003 (26.10.2003)	
Internation	nal Patent Classific	cation (IPC)	or both nati	onal classificat	ion and IPC		
	A01G 31/04( 2001 47/59R,62R	7.01),9/02( 20	007.01)				
Applicant							
ALINSKI,							
1. This o	pinion contains in	ndications rel	ating to the	following iten	ns:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion				
Box No. II Priority							
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					entive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited			ed		_		
	Box No. VII			international a			
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	servations o	on the internati	onal application		
If a d	national Prelimin	ational prelinary Examini	ng Authon	and the choses	ade, this opinion wi except that this doe in IPEA has notified will not be so consi	Il be considered to be a written opinion of the es not apply where the applicant chooses an the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) idered.	
IPEA of Fo	A a written reply tom PCT/ISA/220	ogether, whe	expiration			IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing e, whichever expires later.	
For f	further options, se	e Form PCT/	ISA/220.				
3. For f	further details, see	notes to Fon	m PCT/ISA				
Name an	d mailing address	of the ISA/	JS	Date of comp	oletion of this opinion	n Authorized office	
				02 December	r 2006 (02.12.2006)/	Son T. Nguyen	
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgin					Telephone No. 571-272-3600	

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/00976	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
the international application in the language in which it was filed					
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).					
<ol><li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li></ol>					
a. type of material					
a sequence listing					
table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material					
on paper	١				
in electronic form					
c. time of filing/furnishing					
contained in the international application as filed.					
filed together with the international application in electronic form.	١				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4. Additional comments:					
C					

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL04/00976

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement						
itorial, (i v)	Claims <u>1-3,11-14</u>					
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES				
	Claims 1-15					
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-15	YES				
The second secon	Claims NONE					
2. Citations and explanations:						
Please See Continuation Sheet						
	•					
-						
,						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY International application No. PCT/IL04/00976

Supplemental Box	
out browners	a
In case the space in	any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-3,11-14 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (5584141).

Johnson teaches a rotating cultivation system comprising a main wheel assembly 40 having a rotating mechanism at the central axis controlled by a motor 60 and at least two frames 44,46 having supporting spokes 50 projecting from the central axis wherein each spoke holds a tray 134; secondary wheel assemblies 80 each having a central axis and at least two frames of spokes 84,88 extending from the secondary axis wherein each spoke holds a tray 134; wherein the central axes of the secondary wheel assemblies are located at the edges of the main wheel assembly supporting spokes and the rotation of the secondary wheel assemblies is independent of the main wheel assembly rotation; wherein the trays contain cultivation beds for growing mushrooms or agricultural products; wherein adjacent secondary wheel assemblies rotate in opposite directions in synchronization (col. 2, lines 57-67); and wherein the main and secondary assemblies are elevated by a stand consisting of two triangular frames 18.

Claims 4-10,15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Johnson

For claim 4, Johnson is silent about wherein the rotation of all secondary wheel assemblies is controlled by a central rotating mechanism which includes a second motor and a gear assembly enabling the rotation of all secondary wheel assemblies simultaneously. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a second motor and a gear assembly in the system of Johnson, since it is has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

For claim 5, Johnson is silent about wherein the gear assembly is mounted on the same axis of the main wheel assembly utilizing ball bearings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the gear assembly on the main wheel assembly by using ball bearings in the system of Johnson, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

For claim 6, Johnson is silent about wherein the central rotating mechanism transfers the rotational movement through gears and shafts wherein a main gear rotates respective small gears and each small gear transfers the motion to a respective secondary wheel assembly through the shaft rotation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a central rotating mechanism transfers the rotational movement through gears and shafts wherein a main gear rotates respective small gears and each small gear transfers the motion to a respective secondary wheel assembly through the shaft rotation in the

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/00976

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

system of Johnson, since it is notoriously well known in the art of motor, gear and rotation that this type of configuration to rotate a wheel-like assembly is employed as desired by the intended use of the user.

For claim 7, Johnson is silent about wherein the central rotating mechanism transfers the rotational movement through gears and chains wherein a main gear rotates respective small gears and each small gear transfers the motion to a respective secondary wheel assembly through the chain movement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a central rotating mechanism transfers the rotational movement through gears and chains wherein a main gear rotates respective small gears and each small gear transfers the motion to a respective secondary wheel assembly through the chain movement in the system of Johnson, since it is notoriously well known in the art of motor, gear and rotation that this type of configuration to rotate a wheel-like assembly is employed as desired by the intended use of the user.

For claim 8, Johnson is silent about wherein the rotation of each secondary wheel assembly is controlled by a single rotating mechanism which includes a second motor and a gear. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a second motor and a gear assembly in the system of Johnson, since it is has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

For claim 9, Johnson is silent about wherein the main wheel assembly is comprised of an external wheel and an inner wheel, each driven by a separate motor, wherein the external wheel rotates on bearing which are positioned on a stand and the two sides of the inner wheel rotates in opposite directions, each side causing the rotation of three un-successive secondary wheels on their axes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a main wheel assembly is comprised of an external wheel and an inner wheel, each driven by a separate motor, wherein the external wheel rotates on bearing which are positioned on a stand and the two sides of the inner wheel rotates in opposite directions, each side causing the rotation of three unsuccessive secondary wheels on their axes in the system of Johnson, since it is notoriously well known in the art of motor, gear and rotation that this type of configuration to rotate a wheel-like assembly is employed as desired by the intended use of the user.

For claim 10, Johnson is silent about wherein the secondary wheels are shaped as big cogwheels positioned in proximity to one another. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a secondary wheels are shaped as big cogwheels positioned in proximity to one another in the system of Johnson, since it is notoriously well known in the art of motor, gear and rotation that this type of configuration to rotate a wheel-like assembly is employed as desired by the intended use of the user.

For claim 15, Johnson is silent about the motors are located on the triangular stand. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the motors on the triangular stand in the system of Johnson, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.